

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,334	10/06/2000	Peter Brian Wilson	550-183	9108
23117 75	590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			DESTA, ELIAS	
1100 N GLEBE	E ROAD		ADTIBUT	DARED MILITARE
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201-4714		2857	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/680,334	WILSON, PETER B	RIAN			
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elias Desta	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at timely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper reply n places the applica	to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the pe					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and work appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	m(s)(1 10-1440) 1 apol 140(s)	Mansh				
		SUPERVISORY PATE				

1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant has incorporated the limitation from the rejected claim 2 into the independent claims 1 and 11. Canceling the rejected dependent claim 2 and incorporating the limitation into the dependent claims 1 and 11 does not place the claims in condition for allowance.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Examiner accepts the amendment filed on April 24, 2004 to correct claim 3 dependencies. .